

LEGAL UPDATE

A DREW & NAPIER PUBLICATION

CASE UPDATE**COURT OF APPEAL HOLDS THAT CREATION OF SECURITY DID NOT VIOLATE SHARE TRANSFER RESTRICTION*****Pacrim Investments Pte Ltd v Tan Mui Keow Claire & Anor [2008] SGCA 16*****Executive summary**

Restrictions on the "assignment" or "disposal" of shares during a specified period may not prohibit the creation of security in circumstances where the sale of the pledged or mortgaged shares is not contemplated during the moratorium.

Background

A listed company, Mediastream Ltd ("**MSL**") acquired a business and allotted and issued new MSL shares (the "**Consideration Shares**") to the sellers (the "**Sellers**") by way of consideration for the acquisition. The acquisition agreement (the "**Agreement**") contained a clause by which the Sellers jointly and severally undertook not to "*sell, assign or dispose of any of the Consideration Shares allotted and issued to them on Completion, for a period of one (1) year from Completion ...*". The Singapore Exchange Securities Trading Limited (the "**SGX-ST**") gave approval for the listing and quotation of the Consideration Shares on the Stock Exchange of Singapore Dealing and Automated Quotation System ("**SESDAQ**") upon their allotment and issue.

One of the Sellers (the "**Mortgagor**") used part of the Consideration Shares as security to obtain credit facilities from Pacrim Investments Pte Ltd (the "**Mortgagee**") during the moratorium. Under the credit arrangements, payment was deferred to the date coinciding with the last day of the moratorium. Upon default, the Mortgagee was entitled to transfer the mortgaged Consideration Shares (the "**Mortgaged Shares**") to itself or its nominees and to sell the same only after the expiry of the moratorium.

However, before the moratorium expired, MSL purported to rescind the Agreement and commenced an action against the Sellers. The Mortgagee was also adjudged a bankrupt in separate proceedings.

After the expiry of the moratorium, the Mortgagee submitted the signed transfers of the Mortgaged Shares to MSL for registration. MSL refused to register the transfers.

Decision of the Court of Appeal

The Court of Appeal held that the restrictions against the "assignment" and "disposal" of the Consideration Shares only prohibited the transfer, during the moratorium, of *all rights* in the Consideration Shares *absolutely*. The share transfer restrictions did not prohibit the creation of security (such as the

equitable mortgage in the present case) in circumstances where the sale of the shares pledged or mortgaged by way of security was *not* contemplated during the moratorium.

Recognition of a shareholder's freedom to deal with his shares

Shares are personal property and therefore *prima facie* transferable, although their transfer may be made subject to certain legal restrictions. The court noted that “[t]he freedom of a shareholder to deal with his shares should generally be given a broad, rather than narrow, interpretation”.

Restrictions on the transferability of shares would be interpreted strictly. If there is any ambiguity or uncertainty, the interpretation that gives the shareholder the greatest freedom to transfer will generally be preferred.

A purposive approach to the construction of the terms “sell”, “assign” and “dispose of” in the context of share transfer restrictions

Where a restriction on the transfer of shares is concerned, it is important to appreciate “*why the restriction was imposed and why the shareholder agreed to it*”. The meaning of the terms “sell”, “assign” and “dispose of” would be “*coloured by the purpose*” for which the restriction was agreed to or imposed.

The primary aim of a moratorium on the trading of new shares in a context such as the present is “*to ensure that the market price of existing shares is not destabilised by the immediate or sudden influx of a large number of shares of that company in the market*”. In the present case, the moratorium was intended to restrict dealing with the Consideration Shares in such a way that they could not be sold on SESDAQ during the moratorium. The court accepted that there were other objectives as well, eg., MSL wanted to ensure that the Sellers would be committed to act in the interests of the company for the period of the moratorium.

A restriction on the *sale* of the Consideration Shares was consistent with the above objectives. However, a restriction on their *use as security* was not; such a restriction would have merely prevented the Sellers from maximising the use of their resources.

Nothing in the Agreement was intended to prevent the Sellers from utilising their economic resources insofar as such use was not inconsistent with the objectives of the share transfer restrictions. As the Consideration Shares were listed and quoted on SESDAQ upon their allotment and issue, they were valuable property rights which the Sellers were entitled to deal with as they pleased, subject only to the share transfer restrictions during the moratorium.

Comment

By placing the emphasis it did on the *substance* of the transaction, the court has signalled that restrictions on the transferability of shares would be construed purposively. Parties should therefore be clear as to their objectives and the legal means by which these are to be accomplished. Their intentions should be set out plainly in the legal documentation.

This decision also illustrates that any restrictions on a person's right to deal with property will be strictly construed. If the parties' intentions are not clearly expressed, any ambiguity is likely to be construed against the party imposing the restriction.

If you would like more information about this case or wish to discuss how it may potentially affect you or your business, please feel free to contact the banking and corporate lawyers in Drew & Napier LLC (please refer to the Directors' Profiles on our [website](#)), or:

Gary Pryke

Director (Banking & Corporate)

T: +65 6531 4104

E: gary.pryke@drewnapier.com

The contents of this update are only intended to provide general information on the subject covered. Nothing in this publication should be treated as specific professional legal advice concerning any particular business, operational or other situations with which you might be faced. Drew & Napier LLC accepts no liability for, and does not guarantee the accuracy of, the information contained in this publication, and does not accept any liability for any loss or damage arising from any reliance thereon.

OUR BUSINESS GROUPS AND PRACTICE AREAS

BANKING/GENERAL FINANCE

David Ang
T +65 6531 2236
F +65 6535 4864
E david.ang@drewnapier.com

Valerie Kwok

T +65 6531 2222
F +65 6535 4864
E valerie.kwok@drewnapier.com

BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES

Tony Yeo
T +65 6531 2512
F +65 6220 0324
E tony.yeo@drewnapier.com

BUILDING & CONSTRUCTION

Tan Liam Beng
T +65 6531 4139
F +65 6533 3591
E liambeng.tan@drewnapier.com

CAPITAL MARKETS

Sin Boon Ann
T +65 6531 2206
F +65 6535 4906
E boonann.sin@drewnapier.com

Petrus Huang

T +65 6531 2208
F +65 6535 4906
E petrus.huang@drewnapier.com

CHINA BUSINESS GROUP

David Chin
T +65 6531 2304
F +65 6535 1952
E david.chin@drewnapier.com

COMPETITION LAW

Cavinder Bull, SC (contentious)
T +65 6531 2416
F +65 6533 3591
E cavinder.bull@drewnapier.com

Lim Chong Kin (non-contentious)

T +65 6531 4110
F +65 6535 4864
E chongkin.lim@drewnapier.com

CORPORATE

David Ang
T +65 6531 2236
F +65 6535 4864
E david.ang@drewnapier.com

Gary Pryke

T +65 6531 4104
F +65 6535 4864
E gary.pryke@drewnapier.com

Yeo Wee Kiong

T +65 6531 2500
F +65 6535 4864
E weekiong.yeo@drewnapier.com

CORPORATE INSOLVENCY & RESTRUCTURING

Sushil Nair
T +65 6531 2410
F +65 6533 9029
E sushil.nair@drewnapier.com

Manoj Sandrasegara

T +65 6531 4156
F +65 6533 9029
E manoj.sandra@drewnapier.com

EMPLOYMENT & IMMIGRATION

Indranee Rajah, SC
T +65 6531 4100
F +65 6532 7149
E indranee.rajah@drewnapier.com

FAMILY & MATRIMONIAL

Randolph Khoo
T +65 6531 2418
F +65 6532 7149
E randolph.khoo@drewnapier.com

FUND MANAGEMENT, REIT & PRIVATE EQUITY

Petrus Huang
T +65 6531 2208
F +65 6535 4906
E petrus.huang@drewnapier.com

TELECOMMUNICATIONS, MEDIA & TECHNOLOGY

Lim Chong Kin
T +65 6531 4110
F +65 6535 4864
E chongkin.lim@drewnapier.com

INSURANCE & REINSURANCE

Gary Pryke
T +65 6531 4104
F +65 6535 4864
E gary.pryke@drewnapier.com

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Morris John (Patents)
T +65 6531 2503
F +65 6533 0694
E mj@drewnapier.com

Redar Singh Gill (Trade Marks)

T +65 6531 2507
F +65 6533 0694
E redar.singh@drewnapier.com

LITIGATION & DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Jimmy Yim, SC
T +65 6531 2504/2505
F +65 6533 9029
E jimmy.yim@drewnapier.com

PROJECT FINANCE

Gary Pryke
T +65 6531 4104
F +65 6535 4864
E gary.pryke@drewnapier.com

PROPERTY

Chua Bee Lan
T +65 6531 2302
F +65 6535 1952
E beelan.chua@drewnapier.com

SHIPPING & INTERNATIONAL TRADE

Ian Koh
T +65 6531 2436
F +65 6533 3591
E ian.koh@drewnapier.com

TAX, TRUSTS, ESTATE PLANNING & PROBATE

Teoh Lian Ee
T +65 6531 2248
F +65 6535 4864
E lianee.teoh@drewnapier.com

TRANSNATIONAL & CROSS-BORDER WORK

Julian Kwek
T +65 6531 2485
F +65 6533 9029
E julian.kwek@drewnapier.com

OTHER OFFICES

Shanghai Office
#2501 Office Tower
Bund Center
222 Yan An Road East
Shanghai 200002
China
T +86 21 6335 1628
F +86 21 6335 0638
E china@drewnapier.com

Drewmarks Patents & Designs (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd
9th floor
Bangunan Getah Asli (Menara)
148 Jalan Ampang
50450 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
T +603 2162 2522/2162 2529
F +603 2162 2804
E drewmark@tm.net.my

PT Drewmarks Konsultama
Correspondence address:
20 Raffles Place
#17-00 Ocean Towers
Singapore 048620
T +65 6531 2503/6531 2504
F +65 6533 0694
E ip@drewnapier.com

DrewCorp Services Pte Ltd
20 Raffles Place
#09-01 Ocean Towers
Singapore 048620
ROC No. 200102492H
T +65 6531 2266
F +65 6533 1542/6533 7649
E services@drewcorpservices.com